

The Role of Sustainability Accounting in Enhancing Corporate ESG Transparency and Long-Term Value Creation

Gabriela Marques Alves Aguiar^{1,a,*}

¹Hutton School of Business, University of the Cumberland, Williamsburg, USA

^agmarques79628@ucumberland.edu

*Corresponding author

Abstract: The worldwide focus on sustainable corporate practices has increased the need for transparent and decision-relevant environmental, social, and governance (ESG) reporting. Sustainability accounting has emerged as a crucial mechanism to meet these demands by incorporating non-financial criteria into corporate reporting frameworks. This paper examines how sustainability accounting promotes ESG transparency, enhances stakeholder trust, and contributes to long-term corporate value. The primary research questions investigate the correlation between disclosure quality and stakeholder confidence, the relationship between transparency and financial performance, and the extent to which sustainability accounting enhances the reliability and comparability of financial reports. Secondary questions consider the role of regulatory frameworks, such as the GRI, SASB, CSRD, and California SB 253/261, as well as the organizational elements that support accurate disclosures. Findings from the literature suggest that sustainability accounting strengthens internal controls, enhances evidence-based metrics, and reduces the risk of greenwashing. Firms with stronger sustainability accounting capabilities tend to achieve higher ESG scores, greater investor confidence, and superior long-term performance. This study underscores sustainability accounting as a strategic tool for value creation, accountability, and ethical corporate governance.

Keywords: Sustainability Accounting, ESG Reporting, Corporate Transparency, ESG Disclosure Quality, Stakeholder Trust, Long-term Value Creation, Sustainability Performance

1. Introduction

The growing importance of sustainability in global markets has led to a transformation in corporate reporting practices. Investors, regulators, and civil society now require clear evidence of how firms manage climate risk, social responsibility, and governance integrity. As a result, environmental, social, and governance (ESG) information has become a central component of strategic communication, shaping stakeholder perceptions and influencing market valuation. Sustainability accounting provides a systematic approach for generating reliable non-financial information that complements conventional financial reporting ^[1].

Sustainability accounting extends beyond financial results by incorporating metrics related to resource efficiency, emissions, labor conditions, ethical culture, and long-term risk exposure. This broader scope aligns reporting with the expectations of financial markets and regulatory authorities, while enabling firms to demonstrate responsible conduct and organizational resilience. The growth of global disclosure frameworks, including the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), IFRS S1/S2, and the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), reflects a shift toward standardized, comparable, and decision-useful reporting.

Despite these developments, concerns persist about the reliability, consistency, and comparability of ESG information across firms and reporting periods ^[2]. Data limitations, ambiguous methodologies, and selective disclosures undermine stakeholder trust and expose firms to allegations of greenwashing. These challenges underscore the strategic importance of sustainability accounting systems that can produce verifiable metrics, strengthen internal controls, and support transparent performance narratives.

This study investigates how sustainability accounting enhances transparency in ESG reporting and fosters long-term value creation. It analyzes the impact of disclosure quality on stakeholder trust, the

relationship between transparency and financial performance, and the organizational and regulatory factors that shape reporting outcomes. The paper synthesizes recent peer-reviewed literature to explain how sustainability accounting enhances corporate responsibility and strengthens the credibility of ESG disclosures in increasingly regulated and competitive environments.

2. Manuscript Preparation

2.1. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this study is that sustainability accounting enhances the reliability and transparency of ESG reporting, which in turn increases stakeholder trust and contributes to long-term business success. Research indicates that the transition from voluntary sustainability disclosures to structured reporting frameworks has created a need for comprehensive accounting systems that can measure and validate non-financial data ^[3]. Sustainability accounting offers methodologies for collecting and verifying ESG data, thereby enhancing accuracy and comparability across time and among firms ^[4]. This supports the view that disclosure quality influences stakeholder confidence, since clarity, accuracy, and accessibility of ESG information affect external evaluations of business conduct and risk ^[5, 2].

Studies also show that the quality of ESG reporting is closely linked to corporate governance, ethical culture, and managerial integrity. Strong boards and effective governance structures limit opportunistic reporting and strengthen disclosure credibility ^[6]. Integrity-oriented cultures improve ESG performance, as organizational norms support transparency and discourage superficial compliance ^[7]. Internal controls were critical during the pandemic, when reliable data and clear disclosure were essential for maintaining legitimacy and investor confidence ^[8].

External regulatory frameworks also significantly influence disclosure outcomes. The expanding influence of GRI, SASB, CSRD, and new climate legislation has increased the demand for comparable, decision-useful ESG data. Mandatory ESG disclosure frameworks improve market value and operational efficiency ^[9], while global reporting trends have led to more extensive environmental and social disclosures ^[10]. These findings support the argument that regulatory frameworks reduce ambiguity and limit greenwashing by requiring consistent reporting formats and measurable indicators ^[3].

The literature reinforces the theoretical connection between sustainability accounting and corporate performance. Sustainability reporting enhances the quality of accounting information, strengthening decision-making and contributing to the creation of long-term value ^[11]. Transparent ESG reporting reduces information asymmetry and lowers the cost of capital ^[9]. ESG research increasingly links sustainability performance with economic outcomes through the triple bottom line framework ^[12]. Overall, sustainability accounting operates within a broader system of stakeholder accountability, ethical governance, and strategic risk management ^[1].

2.2. Literature Review

The literature on sustainability accounting and ESG reporting has grown significantly in recent years, reflecting a growing interest in understanding how non-financial disclosure contributes to transparency, accountability, and value creation. Meta-analytic evidence shows that effective governance limits earnings management and enhances the credibility of financial reporting ^[13]. This foundation is crucial for sustainability accounting, as many of the same governance processes that regulate financial reporting also impact the quality of ESG disclosures.

Several studies have documented the transition from volunteer sustainability communication to more structured ESG reporting methods. A bibliometric analysis shows the evolution from traditional financial reporting to integrated ESG reporting, revealing that corporate sustainability disclosures have become increasingly structured and fundamental to communication strategy ^[3]. Recent research provides a critical review of the relationship between sustainability and financial accounting, arguing that ESG dynamics necessitate rethinking traditional accounting logics, particularly in terms of materiality, accountability, and the long-term orientation of performance measurement ^[1]. A global bibliometric analysis based on the triple bottom line shows that ESG research is increasingly linking environmental and social performance to broader concepts of economic sustainability ^[12]. This emphasizes the importance of robust accounting systems that can capture these dimensions.

Within this broader expansion, sustainability accounting emerges as a crucial tool for enhancing the quality of ESG data. The integration of sustainability and ESG accounting into company reporting

enhances the consistency and reliability of sustainability data ^[4]. Sustainability reporting is positively related to the quality of accounting information, suggesting that incorporating non-financial criteria into accounting systems enhances both internal decision-making and the credibility of external reporting ^[11]. Evidence shows that small and medium-sized businesses can benefit from adopting formal sustainability reporting methods ^[14]. However, they encounter resource limits, data collection issues, and competency deficiencies, all of which can reduce the quality of disclosure ^[14].

The quality and complexity of ESG disclosures are key themes in the literature. A distinction has been highlighted between the amount and the quality of ESG reporting, where greater disclosure is not necessarily better if the information is formulaic, inconsistent, or difficult to analyze ^[2]. This supports the view that disclosure quality influences stakeholder confidence, since clarity, accuracy, and accessibility of ESG information affect external evaluations of business conduct and risk ^[5, 2]. Persistent concerns remain regarding trustworthiness, comparability, and greenwashing ^[2]. Increased reporting complexity can obscure the meaning of ESG information, diminishing its utility for stakeholders, particularly when clear measurement procedures and transparent methodologies are not employed to support disclosure ^[5]. These findings underscore the importance of sustainability accounting systems that generate actionable information, rather than producing rich but uninformative narratives ^[5].

Corporate governance, organizational culture, and internal controls all play important roles in influencing the quality of ESG reporting. Stronger governance procedures are associated with higher levels of ESG disclosure, although sectoral differences persist ^[6]. Organizations with integrity-oriented cultures outperform in ESG metrics, implying that internal norms and values can drive both actual sustainability practices and trustworthy reporting ^[7]. High-quality ESG disclosure is especially significant during periods of increased uncertainty, such as the pandemic ^[8]. Robust sustainability accounting and internal control mechanisms are crucial for enterprises seeking to maintain stakeholder trust amid volatile external conditions ^[8].

The regulatory and institutional contexts further influence ESG disclosure procedures. Mandatory ESG disclosure requirements are associated with higher market valuation, reduced information asymmetry, and improved operational results ^[9]. When companies are required to provide standardized ESG information, investors and other stakeholders can more effectively assess risk and performance. Environmental and social disclosure in annual reports has become more thorough over time, especially in contexts where regulatory demands and stakeholder expectations are high ^[10]. Country-level institutional contexts and business size influence the amount and nature of voluntary CSR and ESG disclosure. Larger enterprises operating within stronger institutional frameworks are more likely to adopt advanced ESG practices ^[15].

Finally, some studies have directly linked ESG disclosure to financial performance and long-term value generation. Mandated ESG disclosure enhances firm valuation and performance, suggesting that transparent reporting can improve capital market efficiency ^[9]. Higher-quality sustainability reporting enhances the quality of accounting information, enabling more informed managerial decisions and potentially leading to better financial outcomes ^[11]. The triple bottom line framework is increasingly used to conceptualize the relationship between environmental, social, and financial performance, reinforcing the view that ESG practices and disclosures are integral to long-term value creation rather than peripheral ^[12].

Overall, this body of work demonstrates that incorporating sustainability accounting into core reporting systems can improve ESG disclosure quality, increase stakeholder trust, and support long-term financial performance. However, the studies also highlight ongoing issues with reporting complexity, uneven governance quality, institutional disparities, and the practical integration of regulatory requirements into internal accounting systems. These shortcomings necessitate further synthesis and study of how sustainable accounting can better enable transparent, comparable, and trustworthy ESG reporting across various organizational and regulatory contexts.

2.3. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, literature-based research approach, a well-established method in sustainability accounting research, which is suitable for Francis Press journals. The emphasis is on synthesizing peer-reviewed evidence rather than collecting primary data, which enables a thorough understanding of existing knowledge and theoretical advancements. Articles published between 2009 and 2025 were identified using databases such as Scopus and Web of Science. To ensure academic rigor and disciplinary relevance, high-quality journals such as the *Journal of Accounting Research*, *Corporate*

Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, Business Strategy and the Environment, and Environmental Sciences Europe were consulted first.

The literature was selected based on its relevance to key topic areas, including sustainable accounting practices, ESG disclosure quality, regulatory frameworks, governance mechanisms, and the consequences for financial performance. The selection criteria prioritized conceptual clarity, empirical basis, and relevance to the research issues. Studies examining causal linkages, institutional settings, or cross-country regulatory consequences were included to present a diverse view of ESG reporting contexts. To ensure analytical depth, publications that relied solely on descriptive reporting or lacked methodological transparency were excluded from the analysis.

The analysis involved repeated thematic synthesis. First, the findings of each study were categorized into conceptual categories, including ESG disclosure determinants, the effects of sustainable accounting systems, regulatory implications on reporting quality, stakeholder and investor responses, and long-term value generation processes. Second, repeating patterns and theoretical connections were established across research to create a cohesive understanding of how sustainability accounting affects ESG transparency and performance results. This process enabled the integration of governance viewpoints, institutional theory, and performance-based evidence into a unified framework.

By combining findings from various empirical and conceptual investigations, the methodological approach offers a coherent explanation for how sustainability accounting facilitates trustworthy and comparable ESG reporting. The resulting synthesis contributes to the construction of a theoretical model that links disclosure practices, stakeholder trust, regulatory compliance, and long-term company value creation.

2.4. Results and Discussion

2.4.1. Sustainability Accounting Improves ESG Transparency

The research provides unequivocal evidence that sustainability accounting improves the transparency of ESG reporting. This enhancement arises from the implementation of defined metrics, which allow companies to gather, quantify, and systematically report sustainable data ^[4, 11]. Embedding sustainability metrics, including carbon emissions, workforce conditions, resource utilization, and governance practices, within accounting systems yields more trustworthy data and diminishes managerial discretion. Consequently, companies can provide more transparent and comparable information across reporting periods, facilitating both internal decision-making and external assessment.

A key implication of these findings is the role of sustainability accounting in ensuring consistent reporting over time. Incorporating sustainability data into fundamental accounting processes enhances information quality by making reporting more methodologically transparent and less fragmented ^[11]. This is especially pertinent for readers of ESG reports, who rely on comparability to assess annual progress. In the absence of uniform data collection and measurement systems, companies may inadvertently present a distorted view of their sustainability performance, despite their positive intentions.

The literature emphasizes that sustainability accounting is beneficial for enterprises of all sizes, not solely for major public corporations. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), despite limited resources, derive substantial benefits from organized sustainability reporting systems ^[14]. Employing standardized approaches helps SMEs overcome data collection obstacles, reduce uncertainty, and enhance assurance quality. This suggests that sustainability accounting has democratizing potential, as it enables enterprises with diverse skills to achieve greater transparency, thereby fostering stakeholder trust and market legitimacy.

2.4.2. ESG Disclosure Quality Strengthens Stakeholder Trust

A second common observation is that high-quality ESG reporting enhances stakeholder trust. Transparent disclosure demonstrates responsible business conduct, ethical governance, and effective long-term risk management, all of which are becoming increasingly important to investors, regulators, and consumers ^[7]. When organizations transparently disclose their sustainability strategies and performance results, stakeholders are better assured that they are effectively managing significant risks and operating in good faith. High-quality reporting serves as a reputational asset, enhancing legitimacy.

In contrast, subpar reporting undermines trust and may lead to reputational damage for firms. Reporting complexity and inadequately organized disclosures diminish the utility of ESG information ^[5]. When reports contain convoluted narratives, lack definitive measures, or exclude essential facts,

stakeholders may perceive this as a deliberate effort to obscure poor performance, regardless of the actual circumstances. This disparity in perception may lead to allegations of greenwashing, increased legal risk, and a decline in confidence. The clarity of reporting is thus equally significant as the volume of information revealed.

Sustainability accounting mitigates reporting hazards by serving as an ethical precaution. It minimizes managerial discretion in measurement and establishes standardized reporting processes, ensuring that ESG information is based on verifiable data and internal controls. These mechanisms enhance accountability and support the interests of stakeholders. The literature suggests that stakeholders are increasingly attentive to methodological rigor; they evaluate not only what corporations disclose, but also how information is generated. Transparent accounting systems enhance stakeholder confidence, bolstering corporate reputation and reinforcing market relationships.

2.4.3. ESG Transparency Enhances Long-Term Financial Performance

The analyzed research consistently demonstrates that ESG disclosure correlates with favorable financial results. Compulsory disclosure frameworks enhance market valuation, reduce information asymmetry, and improve operational efficiency ^[9]. These impacts arise from public reporting, which enables investors to make more informed decisions, hence diminishing uncertainty and risk. Companies that transparently communicate their sustainability performance are regarded as better managed, more resilient, and more aligned with their long-term strategic objectives.

Financial advantages also emerge from enhanced access to capital. Companies with superior ESG disclosure experience increased investor confidence and lower capital costs ^[10]. Investors are increasingly incorporating sustainability factors into their portfolio selections, and reliable ESG information enables them to assess risk exposure associated with climate, governance, and social impact. Transparent reporting leads to cheaper financing costs, improved credit ratings, and more stable investor relationships for firms. These findings underscore the economic significance of sustainable accounting as a tool for financial competitiveness.

Sustainability accounting enhances financial outcomes by connecting operational data to strategic reporting, hence improving the alignment between operational and financial performance. When companies integrate sustainability measures into their accounting systems, they produce information that facilitates resource allocation, performance assessment, and the formulation of corporate strategy. This internal alignment allows companies to recognize inefficiencies, minimize waste, and more effectively anticipate regulatory or market challenges. Sustainability accounting transforms ESG reporting from a mere compliance activity into a strategic tool that drives the creation of long-term value. Literature suggests that financial performance is not merely a byproduct of sustainability but rather a consequence of systematic monitoring, transparency, and effective governance.

2.4.4. Regulatory Frameworks Elevate ESG Reporting Quality

Regulatory frameworks have a crucial role in determining the quality of ESG reporting. Sustainability reporting has transitioned from voluntary activities to formal regulatory requirements ^[3]. Frameworks such as GRI, SASB, and CSRD provide guidelines on reporting requirements, impact measurement, and ensuring comparability across various reporting contexts. New climate disclosure legislation, such as California Senate Bills 253 and 261, requires firms to quantify and report their greenhouse gas emissions and climate-related vulnerabilities. As regulatory constraints intensify, companies with established sustainable accounting systems are more equipped to adapt.

Regulation improves reporting quality by diminishing ambiguity and constraining symbolic disclosure. When companies are required to provide metrics and techniques, they can no longer rely on vague assertions of sustainability commitment without substantiating their claims with facts. Compulsory disclosure frameworks improve market outcomes, suggesting that legislation enhances the benefits of ESG reporting for investors' decision-making ^[9]. Standardization restricts the potential for greenwashing by mandating organizations to provide uniform performance statistics instead of selective narratives.

Simultaneously, regulatory alignment transcends basic compliance; it constitutes a strategic imperative. Companies that foresee legislative changes and develop sustainable accounting competencies proactively secure a competitive edge through higher reporting preparedness, reduced compliance risk, and strengthened stakeholder relationships. The literature indicates that regulation and sustainable accounting are mutually reinforcing; regulation provides a framework, while accounting supplies the means required to implement disclosure. Collectively, they enhance the overall standard of ESG reporting across many businesses and governments.

2.4.5. Organizational Factors Shaping ESG Reporting Quality

While reporting frameworks and accounting systems are crucial, organizational variables continue to influence reporting quality. Strong governance, an ethical culture, and adequate internal controls all have an impact on how sustainability data is collected, managed, and reported. Robust boards and effective supervision systems mitigate reporting bias, ensuring that ESG disclosure is not manipulated for reputational gain^[6]. Governance systems serve as internal checkpoints, disciplining reporting habits and aligning disclosure processes with stakeholder expectations.

Additionally, organizational culture is crucial. Organizations with integrity-oriented cultures tend to perform better on ESG metrics because ethical principles foster transparency and accountability^[7]. When sustainability is built into values and daily operations, disclosure becomes more real, and performance improves. Culture influences whether sustainable accounting is used proactively to produce insights or reactively to meet minimum compliance standards. Firms with strong cultures see sustainability as a strategic imperative rather than a reporting requirement.

Internal control mechanisms improve reporting quality. During times of uncertainty, such as the pandemic, companies with robust sustainability accounting systems were able to provide more reliable information while maintaining their legitimacy^[8]. Internal controls improve data accuracy, transparency, and make reporting procedures predictable and auditable. The research indicates that sustainability accounting is more than just a technical exercise. It is part of a larger governance system that shapes how information is interpreted and delivered. High-quality ESG reporting requires both methodological rigor and organizational commitment.

3. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that sustainability accounting is crucial for enhancing the transparency and reliability of ESG reporting. When firms incorporate standardized sustainability metrics into their accounting processes, they produce information that is more consistent, verifiable, and valuable to stakeholders. High-quality disclosure enhances trust, mitigates concerns about greenwashing, and facilitates a more accurate assessment of long-term risk. Sustainability accounting also enhances internal controls, strengthens decision-making, and aligns reporting with regulatory expectations. Firms that adopt mature sustainability accounting systems are therefore better positioned to meet accountability requirements, maintain credibility, and communicate value in competitive markets.

Transparent ESG reporting is associated with improved long-term financial outcomes. Studies show that higher disclosure quality leads to stronger market valuation, increased investor confidence, and lower cost of capital. Sustainability accounting supports these results by linking operational data to strategic reporting, enabling firms to recognize inefficiencies, anticipate regulatory pressures, and communicate value creation more effectively. As disclosure regulations continue to evolve globally, the ability to produce reliable sustainability information will be increasingly important. Future research may investigate the causal relationships between accounting practices, industry-specific reporting challenges, and financial outcomes to deepen the understanding of how sustainability accounting creates value across various corporate contexts.

References

- [1] Tettamanzi P, Venturini G, Murgolo M. Sustainability and Financial Accounting: A Critical Review on the ESG Dynamics[J]. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2022, 29(11): 16758-16761. DOI:10.1007/s11356-022-18596-2.
- [2] Arvidsson S, Dumay J. Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice?[J]. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2022, 31(3): 1091-1110. DOI:10.1002/bse.2937.
- [3] Diwan H, Amarayil Sreeraman B. From financial reporting to ESG reporting: A bibliometric analysis of the evolution in corporate sustainability disclosures[J]. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 2023, 26(6): 13769-13805. DOI:10.1007/s10668-023-03249-2
- [4] Dasinapa M B. The Integration of Sustainability and ESG Accounting into Corporate Reporting Practices[J]. *Advances in Applied Accounting Research*, 2024, 2(1): 13-25. DOI:10.60079/aaar.v2i1.167.
- [5] Al Amosh H. Exploring the Influence of Accounting Reporting Complexity on ESG Disclosure[J]. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 2025, 32(5): 5760-5778.

DOI:10.1002/csr.70000.

[6] Ellili N O D. *Impact of corporate governance on environmental, social, and governance disclosure: Any difference between financial and non-financial companies?*[J]. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 2023, 30(2): 858-873. DOI:10.1002/csr.2393.

[7] Bao X, Sun B, Han M, Mai Q, Lin H. *Corporate integrity culture on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance*[J]. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 2024, 31(2): 1399-1417. DOI:10.1002/csr.2637.

[8] Murgolo M, Tettamanzi P, Minutiello V. *Accounting, ESG dynamics and the pandemic: When the quality of disclosure becomes crucial to sustainable success*[J]. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 2024, 24(3): 509-540. DOI:10.1108/CG-04-2023-0161.

[9] Krueger P, Sautner Z, Tang D Y, Zhong R. *The Effects of Mandatory ESG Disclosure Around the World*[J]. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 2024, 62(5): 1795-1847. DOI:10.1111/1475-679X.12548.

[10] Lin Y, Shen R, Wang J, Julia Yu Y. *Global Evolution of Environmental and Social Disclosure in Annual Reports*[J]. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 2024, 62(5): 1941-1988. DOI:10.1111/1475-679X.12575.

[11] Ferreira D, Pereira C, Queirós M, Monteiro A P. *Impact of sustainability reporting on accounting information quality*[J]. *Cogent Business & Management*, 2025, 12(1): 2468875. DOI:10.1080/23311975.2025.2468875.

[12] Mishra P, Pandey V K. *Triple bottom line and environmental sustainability: Evolution of global ESG research—a bibliometric analysis*[J]. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 2025, 37(1): 136. DOI:10.1186/s12302-025-01184-9.

[13] García-Meca E, Sánchez-Ballesta J P. *Corporate Governance and Earnings Management: A Meta-Analysis*[J]. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 2009, 17(5): 594-610. DOI:10.1111/j.1467-8683.2009.00753.x.

[14] Setyaningsih S, Widjojo R, Kelle P. *Challenges and opportunities in sustainability reporting: A focus on small and medium enterprises (SMEs)*[J]. *Cogent Business & Management*, 2024, 11(1): 2298215. DOI:10.1080/23311975.2023.2298215.

[15] Solimene S, Coluccia D, Fontana S, Bernardo A. *Formal Institutions and Voluntary CSR / ESG Disclosure: The Role of Institutional Diversity and Firm Size*[J]. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 2025, 32(4): 5147-5166. DOI:10.1002/csr.3195.