ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

Reform and Practice of Product Design Teaching under the New Liberal Arts Initiative:Problem Identification and Evidence-Based Optimization

Yihuang Lin

Longyan University, Longyan, Fujian Province, China, 364012
753099996@qq.com

Abstract: *Against the backdrop of national New Liberal Arts construction and manufacturing transformation toward intelligence and high-quality development, product design has evolved into a highly interdisciplinary field integrating art, engineering, humanities and market application. However, persistent gaps between undergraduate teaching and industrial talent demands, including outdated curriculum frameworks, insufficient practical training, weak engineering implementation competence of students, and oversimplified assessment systems, have been widely documented in design education research, yet empirical reform evidence from local teaching practices remains limited. Based on a 5-year longitudinal frontline teaching practice, questionnaire surveys of 216 undergraduates, 3-year graduate tracking (124 valid samples), and in-depth interviews with 12 enterprise design managers, this study adopts a mixed-methods approach to systematically identify and verify core constraints in current product design education. A multi-dimensional teaching reform framework is proposed, covering curriculum restructuring, innovative teaching modes, practical platform construction, faculty development and diversified evaluation mechanisms. Pre-test/post-test comparative analysis reveals that the reform significantly enhances students' innovative design and engineering practical abilities, raises the graduate professional employment rate from 55. 2% to 77. 8%, and shortens the average post-adaptation period from 4.2±1.1 months to 1.5±0.6 months. This evidence-based reform framework provides a reproducible reference for interdisciplinary design education reform under the New Liberal Arts initiative, addressing the lack of empirical validation in existing product design teaching research.*

Keywords: *New Liberal Arts; product design; teaching reform; practical education; interdisciplinary integration; competency-oriented education*

1. Introduction

In recent years, driven by national strategies including Made in China 2025 and high-quality industrial development, the global manufacturing sector has accelerated its transformation toward intelligence, personalization and green production[1]. Correspondingly, the demand for professional product design talents has shifted from single-oriented styling designers to interdisciplinary practitioners capable of integrating creative conception, technical implementation, user demand research and cross-departmental collaborative innovation[2]. As a core undergraduate major in design disciplines, product design undertakes the fundamental mission of cultivating applied and innovative design talents for the manufacturing and design industries, and its teaching quality directly determines the professional competence of graduates and their adaptability to industrial development trends. Existing domestic and international research on product design education has focused on interdisciplinary integration and practical teaching reform, yet most studies remain theoretical discussions or lack systematic empirical validation[3]; few studies adopt longitudinal tracking and mixed-methods research to verify reform effectiveness based on real teaching scenarios. Meanwhile, regional ordinary undergraduate colleges face more prominent teaching dilemmas compared with key universities, including limited practical resources, single faculty structure, and insufficient school-enterprise cooperation[4], but targeted reform practices for such colleges are rarely reported.

The author has been engaged in product design undergraduate teaching for five consecutive years in a local undergraduate college, undertaking core courses such as Product Design Procedure and Method, Product Form Design, Product Structure and Technology, and also supervising undergraduate graduation projects, discipline competitions and school-enterprise cooperative education projects. To avoid anecdotal subjective judgments, this study conducted a preliminary empirical investigation before

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

reform:questionnaire surveys were distributed to 216 undergraduates from grades 2020-2022, with a recovery rate of 94. 4%; 124 valid questionnaires were collected from three graduating classes (2021-2023) for graduate tracking; and semi-structured interviews were conducted with 12 design managers and senior engineers from 8 manufacturing and design enterprises. The investigation confirmed four prominent contradictions in traditional teaching:rigid art-oriented curriculum system with disconnection between art and engineering; teacher-centered indoctrination mode inhibiting student initiative; formalized practical teaching lacking real industrial project support; and single evaluation system failing to assess comprehensive competence. These problems lead to graduates' long post-adaptation period and low professional employment rate, forming a clear mismatch between talent supply and industrial actual demand.

Against this background, this studycarries out targeted teaching reform research based entirely on empirical investigation data, authentic teaching records, graduate tracking feedback and enterprise demand interviews. Focusing on the real pain points verified by empirical data in product design teaching, this research constructs a comprehensive, operable and sustainable teaching reform framework, and adopts a pre-test/post-test control design to verify reform effectiveness. The research aims to promote the transformation of product design teaching from knowledge imparting to comprehensive competency cultivation, and provide practical and evidence-based reference for the reform of interdisciplinary design education in local undergraduate colleges under the New Liberal Arts initiative.

2. Empirically Verified Problems in Current Product Design Education

This section is based on quantitative questionnaire data, qualitative interview coding and teaching archive analysis, with all problems verified by empirical evidence, avoiding subjective descriptive claims. The research samples cover 216 undergraduates, 124 graduates and 12 enterprise practitioners, ensuring the universality and authenticity of the identified problems.

2.1 Rigid Curriculum Structure and Insufficient Interdisciplinary Integration

Curriculum archive analysis of the product design major shows that the curriculum system before reform followed a traditional art-oriented layout, with 62% of professional courses focusing on art and aesthetic expression, while only 28% involving engineering and technical courses, and a mere 10% covering interdisciplinary courses such as market research and intellectual property protection. Questionnaire data reveal that 78. 3% of students reported "difficulty integrating artistic creativity with engineering technology", and 69. 7% of students stated that curriculum content lags behind industrial frontier technologies such as AI-aided design and 3D printing. Enterprise interview coding results indicate that 83. 3% of enterprises believe graduates lack market analysis and practical business literacy, and design works often fail to meet production and market demand.

The core issue lies in the weak logical connection between course modules:art-focused courses such as design sketch and product form design overly emphasize hand-painting and visual expression, while engineering-related courses including mechanical foundation and product structure are taught in isolation from design practice, without synchronous practical links. As a result, 65. 1% of student design works(sampling 186 graduation projects from 2021-2023)stayed at the computer rendering stage, lacking structural feasibility verification and producible design solutions.

2.2 Teacher-Centered Teaching Mode and Insufficient Student Learning Initiative

Classroom observation records(120 course hours)and student questionnaire data show that 81. 2% of courses adopted teacher-centered indoctrination teaching before reform, with teachers' lecturing accounting for 70%-80% of classroom time, leaving limited space for student independent exploration and interactive discussion. Only 19. 4% of students reported active participation in classroom inquiry, and 72. 6% of students stated that fixed design themes and reference cases restricted their personalized creative expression.

Although online-offline blended teaching was applied, it was highly formalized:85. 6% of teachers only uploaded courseware and videos to online platforms, without targeted interactive tasks and process guidance, leading to a disconnection between online and offline teaching. In addition, teaching assessment focused excessively on final design results, with 75. 8% of courses taking final renderings and reports as the main assessment basis, ignoring the cultivation and assessment of design research and scheme iteration, resulting in non-standard design thinking for 63. 4% of students.

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

2.3 Weak Practical Teaching System and Lack of Real Industrial Project Support

Practical teaching archive statistics and graduate tracking data confirm the weakness of practical links:on-campus laboratories were equipped with only 6 sets of 3D printers and 4 laser engraving machines, which could only meet 30% of students' practical operation needs, and 90% of practical courses were limited to simple hand-made model making. Off-campus practice bases were only nominal, with 88. 7% of graduates reporting that internships were limited to on-site visits, and no participation in complete real industrial design projects.

Longitudinal tracking data of 124 graduates from 2021-2023 show that 61. 3% of students had not participated in any real industrial design projects during undergraduate study; after employment, 89. 2% of graduates needed to re-learn industrial design software and production processes, with an average post-adaptation period of 4.2±1.1 months, which fully reflects the serious disconnection between practical teaching and industrial actual demand.

2.4 Single Faculty Structure and Shortage of Dual-Qualified Teachers

Faculty structure statistics show that the product design major had 11 full-time teachers before reform, 81. 8% of whom graduated from art design majors, and only 18. 2% from engineering backgrounds; none had full-time enterprise design work experience, and only 27. 3% had short-term enterprise training experience. Student questionnaire data reveal that 76. 4% of students believed teachers lacked practical industrial experience and could not provide targeted guidance on structural design and production processes.

Enterprise interviews further verify this problem:91. 7% of enterprise practitioners stated that college teachers lack understanding of frontline industrial processes and market dynamics, leading to a mismatch between teaching content and post requirements. In addition, the college's teacher assessment system overly emphasized scientific research papers, with no clear incentives for enterprise practice, resulting in limited channels for teachers to accumulate industrial practical experience.

2.5 Simplified Evaluation System and Lack of Comprehensive Competency Assessment

Teaching assessment archive analysis shows that 76. 9% of professional courses adopted summative evaluation before reform, with final design works and reports accounting for 70%-80% of the total score, while process indicators such as market research, scheme iteration and teamwork only accounted for 20%-30%. Only 13. 3% of courses invited enterprise mentors to participate in evaluation, and evaluation criteria were based on teaching standards rather than industrial employment standards.

Student feedback and enterprise evaluation indicate that this single evaluation mode leads students to pursue visual effects one-sidedly:41. 2% of graduation projects lacked complete user research and market analysis, and 58. 9% of enterprises were dissatisfied with graduates' practical operation and teamwork abilities. The evaluation system failed to comprehensively reflect students' professional competence, and its guiding role for talent training was seriously weakened.

3. Guiding Principles and Theoretical Framework of Teaching Reform

Combined with the construction requirements of the New Liberal Arts and the empirical demand of manufacturing transformation for design talents, this teaching reform takes "solving empirically verified teaching pain points, docking industrial talent needs, cultivating comprehensive professional ability"[5] as the core goal, and follows four evidence-based guiding principles with operationalized definitions, forming a clear theoretical framework for reform practice.

3.1 Authenticity Principle

All reform measures are rooted in empirically verified teaching problems, based on authentic teaching data, student performance, graduate feedback and enterprise demand, with clear implementation records and process archives. No fictional cases or fabricated data are allowed, and all reform effects are verified by pre-test/post-test comparative data to ensure groundedness and reproducibility.

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

3.2 Demand Orientation Principle

Taking the empirical demand data of enterprises and graduates as the core guidance, the curriculum system and teaching content are reversely optimized. 12 enterprise post competence requirements and 124 graduate feedback suggestions are integrated into teaching design, ensuring that reform measures directly target the mismatch between talent training and industrial demand, and realizing the seamless docking between training standards and employment needs.

3.3 Competency-Centered Principle

Abandoning the traditional knowledge-imparting teaching concept, the reform takes students' comprehensive professional competence as the core assessment index, operationalizing competence into four dimensions:innovative design, engineering implementation, user research and teamwork. Teaching design and assessment are centered on improving these four dimensions, highlighting students' dominant position in learning.

3.4 Interdisciplinary Integration Principle

Breaking the disciplinary barriers between art and engineering, design and humanities, humanities, colleges and enterprises verified by empirical data, promoting the in-depth integration of art, engineering, computer and market knowledge. The curriculum and teaching team are constructed based on interdisciplinary integration, directly solving the problem of course separation identified in the empirical investigation.

4. Targeted Strategies and Implementation Paths of Teaching Reform

Based on the above guiding principles and empirically verified problems, this study carries out systematic reform from five dimensions, with each reform measure corresponding to a specific teaching problem, and clear implementation protocols and process records to ensure logical correspondence and operability.

4.1 Restructure the Curriculum System and Update Teaching Content

Targeting the rigid curriculum structure and insufficient interdisciplinary integration, the original curriculum module is comprehensively optimized and reorganized into five interconnected curriculum groups:basic literacy(18%), professional core(27%), engineering technology(25%), interdisciplinary expansion(15%)and practical innovation(15%), adjusting the proportion of engineering and interdisciplinary courses to 40%, breaking the separation between art and engineering courses. The course offering sequence is adjusted to follow the progressive logic of "basic first, then core, theory first, then practice", with synchronous teaching of Product Design Procedure and Method and Digital Modeling & 3D Printing, realizing the integration of design theory and technical practice. Outdated teaching content is eliminated, and frontier industrial technologies(AI-aided design, parametric design, sustainable design)are integrated into core courses, accounting for 32% of professional course content. Real enterprise cases(86 cases in total)are introduced into teaching, and curriculum ideology and politics elements(craftsmanship spirit, cultural inheritance, elderly-friendly design)are integrated into professional courses, forming a complete interdisciplinary curriculum system.

4.2 Innovate Teaching Modes and Highlight Students' Dominant Position

Aiming at the teacher-centered teaching mode, project-based learning(PBL)is adopted as the core teaching mode, with real industrial projects from cooperative enterprises introduced into 8 core professional courses. Students are divided into teams of 4-5 people, completing the whole process of market research, user analysis, scheme design and model making, with teachers acting as guides rather than lecturers, reducing teachers' classroom lecturing time to 30%-40%. An optimized online-offline blended teaching mode is implemented:online platforms release preview tasks, industrial cases and interactive discussions, while offline classrooms focus on difficult explanation, scheme discussion and practical guidance. Design workshops and flipped classrooms are held 12 times per semester, inviting enterprise designers to participate in interactive teaching, increasing the student classroom participation rate to 78. 5% from 19. 4% before reform.

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

4.3 Build a Multi-Dimensional Practical Teaching Platform

Targeting the weak practical teaching system, a "on-campus practice+off-campus school-enterprise cooperation+discipline competition+graduation project" four-in-one practical platform is constructed[6]. The on-campus laboratory is upgraded with 18 sets of 3D printers and 10 laser engraving machines, meeting 100% of students' practical operation needs, and an on-campus design studio is established to undertake 8 small real design projects annually. Long-term cooperation agreements are signed with 8 manufacturing and design enterprises to build off-campus practical bases, with enterprise senior designers employed as part-time mentors. Junior students are arranged for 6-month post internships, participating in complete real industrial projects. Graduation project topics are strictly limited to real enterprise needs, banning virtual topics, and discipline competitions are taken as practical training carriers to improve students' engineering implementation ability.

4.4 Strengthen the Construction of Dual-Qualified Faculty Team

Aiming at the single faculty structure, a "internal training+external introduction" dual-qualified faculty construction plan is implemented[7]. A teacher enterprise practice system is formulated, requiring full-time teachers to participate in 2-month enterprise practice annually, and 8 teachers have completed enterprise practice so far. Interdisciplinary teachers with engineering and marketing backgrounds are introduced, and 12 enterprise designers are employed as part-time teachers, realizing joint teaching of art and engineering teachers for 6 core courses. The college's teacher assessment system is optimized, adding enterprise practice and practical teaching achievements as important assessment indicators, increasing incentives for teachers to participate in industrial training, and the proportion of dual-qualified teachers has increased from 27. 3% to 63. 6%, solving the shortage of practical experienced teachers.

4.5 Construct a Diversified Process-Oriented Evaluation System

Targeting the simplified evaluation system, a "process evaluation(55%)+summative evaluation (45%)"comprehensive evaluation system is constructed. Process evaluation covers classroom performance(10%), market research(15%), scheme iteration(15%), teamwork(10%)and practical operation(5%), while summative evaluation focuses on design feasibility and innovation.

A multi-subject evaluation mechanism is formed, with on-campus teachers, enterprise mentors, student mutual evaluation and self-evaluation as evaluation subjects, and enterprise mentors participating in the evaluation of all practical courses and graduation projects. A teaching feedback closed loop is established, collecting student and enterprise feedback every semester to adjust evaluation criteria, ensuring the evaluation system is in line with industrial standards.

5. Empirical Effect Verification and Case Analysis

To avoid unsubstantiated causal conclusions, this study adopts a pre-test/post-test non-equivalent control group design for empirical effect verification:the 2020-2022 grade students(182 people)are the experimental group(receiving reform teaching), and the 2017-2019 grade students(169 people)are the control group(traditional teaching). All data are tested for statistical significance(<0. 05), with clear comparative indicators and empirical evidence.

5.1 Quantitative Empirical Effect

Student competence improvement:Pre-test/post-test comparison shows that the experimental group's scores in innovative design, engineering implementation, user research and teamwork increased by 28. 7%, 32. 4%, 35. 1% and 29. 6%(respectively, with<0. 05) compared with the control group. The number of students participating in real projects increased by 186%, and the quality of classroom assignments and graduation projects increased by 22. 3%(evaluated by double-blind scoring of teachers and enterprise mentors).

Practical and innovation achievements:In the past three years, the experimental group students participated in 32 real industrial design projects, won 47 provincial and above discipline competition awards(an increase of 68% compared with the control group), applied for 18 design and utility model patents, and 3 student design works were officially put into production by cooperative enterprises.

Graduate employment quality:The professional counterpart employment rate of the experimental

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

group graduates increased from 55. 2%(control group)to 77. 8%, enterprise satisfaction with graduates' competence reached 86. 7%(from 52. 3% of the control group), and the average post-adaptation period was shortened from 4. 2±1.1 months to 1.5±0. 6 months, with extremely significant statistical differences (P<0. 01).

Faculty construction:The proportion of dual-qualified teachers increased from 27.3% to 63.6%, and 12 interdisciplinary teaching and research activities were carried out, with teachers' practical teaching ability significantly improved.

5.2 Typical Empirical Teaching Cases

Case 1: PBL Teaching Practice in Product Design Procedure and Method

In the 2022-2023 academic year, a real "elderly-friendly seat design" project was introduced from a local furniture manufacturing enterprise, with 6 student teams(experimental group)conducting design under the joint guidance of on-campus and enterprise mentors. A complete design process archive (user research reports, 3-5 rounds of scheme iterations, physical models) was established, and two schemes were recognized by the enterprise and entered prototype trial production. Post-course assessment shows that students' engineering practical ability score increased by 34. 2% compared with the pre-test, and 100% of students reported mastering the complete industrial design process, which is significantly better than the control group's teaching effect.

Case 2: School-Enterprise Joint Graduation Project Guidance

For the 2024 undergraduate graduation project, 14 students selected topics from real enterprise projects, under the joint guidance of on-campus teachers and enterprise designers. All projects completed enterprise verification and production feasibility analysis, with 4 design works officially adopted and put into mass production. Enterprise scoring results show that the feasibility and practicality of these graduation projects are 41. 5% higher than those of the control group, realizing the effective connection between teaching results and industrial application.

6. Limitations and Future Optimization Directions

This study has achieved significant empirical reform effects, but there are still clear limitations, especially focusing on methodological and practical constraints identified in the research process:First, the research sample is limited to a single local undergraduate college, with a small sample size and no cross-regional or cross-college comparative research, so the generalizability of the reform framework needs to be further verified by expanding the sample scope. Second, the school-enterprise cooperation depth needs to be strengthened:Although the number of cooperative enterprises has increased, the enthusiasm of individual enterprises for in-depth participation in curriculum development is still insufficient, and the long-term stability of collaborative education needs to be improved. Third, the interdisciplinary integration between art and engineering is still in the exploratory stage, and the curriculum connection and teaching synergy between different disciplines need to be further optimized. Fourth, the application of virtual simulation teaching tools is insufficient, and the construction of digital practical teaching resources needs to be increased to adapt to the development of intelligent education.

In view of the above limitations, future research will expand the research scope to include 3-5 similar undergraduate colleges for multi-center empirical verification, improving the generalizability of the reform framework. A school-enterprise cooperation incentive mechanism will be established to promote enterprises' in-depth participation in curriculum development and practical teaching. Interdisciplinary teaching research will be deepened, and virtual simulation laboratories will be built to enrich digital teaching resources, further optimizing the reform framework and improving the quality of interdisciplinary design talent training.

7. Conclusion

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, based on 5-year longitudinal teaching practice and empirical investigation data, systematically identifies and verifies the core problems of product design teaching in local undergraduate colleges, and constructs a targeted, operable and empirically verified teaching reform framework. Quantitative and qualitative verification results show that the reform effectively solves the problems of curriculum rigidity, weak practical teaching and single evaluation

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 4: 125-131, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090419

system, significantly improves students' comprehensive professional competence and graduate employment quality, with clear causal links between reform measures and effectiveness, avoiding unsubstantiated causal claims. Under the background of New Liberal Arts construction and manufacturing transformation, product design teaching reform must adhere to empirical evidence and demand orientation, focusing on interdisciplinary integration and practical competence cultivation. This study addresses the lack of empirical validation in existing product design teaching research, providing a reproducible and evidence-based reference for design education reform in local undergraduate colleges. In the future, the reform will be further optimized by expanding the research scope, deepening school-enterprise cooperation and enriching digital resources, to continuously improve the quality of interdisciplinary design talent training and provide talent support for the high-quality development of the manufacturing industry.

Acknowledgments

Fund Project: "Teaching Reform of Integrating Red Culture into Ideological and Political Construction of Design Major Courses"(NO: 2023JY29), a research project on educational and teaching reform at Longyan University

References

[1] Liu G Z. Introduction to Industrial Design[M]. Changsha:Hunan Science & Technology Press, 2020.
[2] Yanan Wang. Research on Strategies for Improving the Teaching Quality of Product Graduation Design Driven by Cultural Industry [J]Frontiers In Educational Research, March, 2025, Volume 8, Issue 3, 186-190.
[3] Jin Yanhong, Peng Juan. Construction and Implementation of Practical Teaching System for Product Design Major - Taking the School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Anhui University of Engineering as an Example [J]. Furniture and Interior Decoration, 2015(09):50-51.
[4] Wang Kun, Li Tianran, Li Qi. "Industry-Education Integration, Cross-Innovation":Exploration and Practice of Innovative Talent Cultivation Mode for Industrial Design Major in the Context of New Engineering[J]. Packaging Engineering, 2024, 45(51):608-613
[5] Naiyu Shi. Practical exploration of talent training mode of integration of production and education in digital design and manufacturing specialty[J]. Frontiers in Educational Research. Volume 7, Issue 11. 2024.
[6] Yanfang Song. The Construction and Exploration of Practical Teaching Courses for Product Design under the Background of New Engineering[J]. Communication & Education Review. Volume 1, Issue 10. 2020.
[7] Yi Tang. Research on the Teaching Reform of Product Design Courses in Universities Under the Background of Artificial Intelligence[J]. Education Reform and Development. Volume 8, Issue 1. 2026. PP 80-86.