ISSN 2616-5902 Vol. 8, Issue 1: 54-58, DOI: 10.25236/AJBM.2026.080108

The Role of Automation Technologies in Business

Letian Wang

Jericho Senior High School, 99 Cedar Swamp Rd, Jericho, NY, 11753, U.S.

Abstract: *This paper examines how automation technologies—robotic process automation (RPA), intelligent automation (IA), and hyperautomation—are reshaping business operations. First, it defines each approach and summarizes where it works best: RPA for high-volume, rules-based tasks; IA for variable inputs and recommendations; and hyperautomation for orchestrating tools across end-to-end workflows. Next, drawing on academic studies and recent industry evidence, the paper reviews adoption patterns and the conditions under which cost, quality, and speed gains actually occur. The analysis shows that returns depend less on tool choice than on process redesign, data quality, and program discipline. It also highlights workforce effects: automation tends to reallocate tasks rather than eliminate entire jobs, increasing the need for reskilling and thoughtful role design. Finally, the paper outlines practical steps for responsible scale—candidate selection, simplification before automation, human-in-the-loop safeguards, and lightweight governance—to convert pilots into durable results. The overall conclusion is simple: automation can deliver lasting value when paired with redesign and reskilling under clear governance; treated as a plug-and-play fix, it produces fragile solutions and mixed outcomes.*

Keywords: *Robotic process automation, Intelligent automation, Hyperautomation, Process mining, Future of Work, Artificial Intelligence*

1. Introduction

Automation is changing how businesses work. Companies now use software to handle routine tasks, help with decisions, and connect steps in a process. This paper looks at three main types: robotic process automation (RPA), intelligent automation (IA), and hyperautomation. RPA copies simple human actions on a computer, like moving files or entering data. IA adds tools such as machine learning so the system can recognize patterns and make recommendations. Hyperautomation combines several tools—often including RPA and IA—plus ways to map and manage processes, so a company can scale automation across many departments.

Businesses turn to automation to lower costs, reduce errors, speed up work, and let people focus on higher-value tasks. But results are not guaranteed. Poorly designed processes, bad or incomplete data, and weak planning can cancel out the benefits. Automation also raises questions about jobs (who does what after automation), fairness and bias in algorithms, privacy, and cybersecurity.

The main claim of this paper is that automation creates lasting value only when paired with good process design, human checks, and clear measures of success. It is not a plug-and-play fix. The paper is organized as follows. First, it defines RPA, IA, and hyperautomation and explains where each works best. Next, it gives examples from finance, operations, marketing, and customer service. Then it weighs the benefits and downsides, including costs, quality, productivity, and effects on workers. Finally, it suggests practical steps for adoption—how to pick good candidates, set basic rules for governance and ethics, and plan for reskilling—before ending with brief policy notes.

2. Literature Review

Intelligent automation (IA) combines tools such as natural language processing, machine learning, autonomics, and computer vision to handle large volumes of information and automate multi-step workflows that can improve over time. In data-intensive industries like banking and insurance, IA is often positioned to support back-office operations and reduce costs, but the benefits depend on integration with existing systems and processes [1][2][3].

Robotic process automation (RPA) focuses on rule-based, repetitive digital tasks—moving files, scraping fields, populating forms, or transferring data across systems—so that routine work happens faster and with fewer errors. When inputs are structured and business rules are stable, organizations report

ISSN 2616-5902 Vol. 8, Issue 1: 54-58, DOI: 10.25236/AJBM.2026.080108

lower operating costs and shorter cycle times, especially in shared services and finance [1][4][5].

Concrete applications of RPA span service resolution in retail banking, transaction screening in fraud detection, and accounts receivable/payable in finance; in auditing, RPA helps with data preparation, risk assessment, and control testing (for example, segregation of duties). Insurance carriers use RPA for claims intake, underwriting, appeals, and data cleansing. Empirical work also links RPA to more frequent audit procedures and smoother reporting to audit committees [2][6][7][8].

Despite these gains, scaling RPA can be difficult when upstream processes are still paper-based or poorly standardized; firms must digitize and simplify workflows before automation can run reliably. Adoption is also shaped by organizational factors—such as change management, role design, and risk controls—which can slow or stall pilots if not addressed directly [1][5][9][10].

AI-enabled automation goes beyond fixed rules by using pattern recognition and prediction to recommend actions or take them with limited human input. Companies apply these tools to analyze online feedback, power natural-language chatbots, and even assist software testing by detecting and repairing defects so developers can focus on core tasks [3][11][12][13]. These uses can raise accuracy and efficiency but also introduce risks around bias, privacy, and oversight, which call for human-in-the-loop safeguards [3][12].

Hyperautomation integrates multiple tools—often RPA and AI—together with process mining and orchestration so companies can scale automation across end-to-end processes while keeping people in the loop. Effective programs emphasize composable architectures that IT can manage and that scale as the business grows; in this design, automation complements rather than replaces human work [14][15][16].

In practice, firms assemble “stacks” that may include RPA, process mining, machine learning, natural-language processing, optical character recognition, and sometimes digital-twin tools. Survey evidence reinforces these patterns: in Deloitte’s 2022 global study of 479 executives across 35 countries, 74% reported implementing RPA and 50% OCR, average self-rated automation maturity rose to 5.04/10 (from 4.41 in 2020), and momentum is toward end-to-end automation and more citizen-led development [3][16][17].

Across IA, RPA, AI, and hyperautomation, the main outcomes are higher accuracy and productivity alongside changes in job content that require reskilling and fair transition policies. While estimates vary, influential studies suggest a substantial share of tasks—and some jobs—are automatable, even as new tasks and occupations appear; this makes governance, measurement, and education key to capturing benefits responsibly [2][18][19].

3. Analysis & Discussion

Building on the literature review, which defined RPA, IA, and hyperautomation and summarized key findings across industries, this section analyzes what those findings mean in practice. The goal is to connect evidence to action: where automation delivers value, why programs stall, how work changes for people, and what governance is required to scale responsibly.

3.1 Adoption and Program Maturity

Recent survey evidence shows that automation is now widespread, but maturity remains uneven across firms and functions. Deloitte’s 2022 global study of 479 executives in 35 countries reports that most organizations are already implementing core enablers like RPA (74%) and OCR (50%), with average self-rated maturity rising from 4.41/10 in 2020 to 5.04/10 in 2022 [17]. This suggests many organizations have moved beyond pilots into program mode, yet a large “middle” still struggles to convert tool rollout into end-to-end impact. Reviews of successful programs emphasize process standardization, a shared architecture, and early governance as the levers that separate sustained gains from stalled experiments [4][16]. In short, adoption is high; maturity depends on operating discipline. Maturity grows when firms treat automation as a multi-year capability—building reusable components and intake standards, clarifying ownership between IT and the business, and measuring outcomes with a stable metric set rather than “bot counts” [5][20]..

ISSN 2616-5902 Vol. 8, Issue 1: 54-58, DOI: 10.25236/AJBM.2026.080108

3.2 Matching Tools to Problems: Fit Determines ROI

The literature is clear that different tools solve different problem types. RPA excels at high-volume, rules-based work with stable, digital inputs; IA (e.g., ML, NLP, computer vision) handles variability and supports recommendations; and hyperautomation orchestrates multiple tools—often RPA plus AI and process mining—across end-to-end workflows [1][3][14][15][16]. When firms match tool to task, studies report reductions in cost, error, and cycle time, especially in shared services, finance, and audit [4][6][7][8]. Misfits erode ROI: throwing RPA at paper-heavy, frequently changing work creates fragile bots and higher maintenance; deploying prediction where simple business rules suffice adds complexity without value. A practical screen is the "Four V's"—Volume, Variability, Value, Verifiability—and then choosing the least complex tool that meets the need (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Willcocks et al., 2015).

3.3 Data and Process as Preconditions

Across sources, poor data and unclear workflows are the most common blockers to scale. Paper inputs, inconsistent rules, and shadow variants make automation unreliable and expensive to maintain [1][9][10]. Process mining helps expose rework and variant paths so teams can simplify before they automate [14][15]. In practice, firms that digitize inputs early (e.g., through OCR), clean master data, and reconcile decision rules report smoother scaling and fewer exceptions—mirroring the "direction of travel" in Deloitte's survey toward end-to-end automation rather than isolated tasks [17]. The strategic sequence is simple but critical: 1) fix the process, 2) standardize inputs and rules, 3) automate, 4) monitor variants [5][16].

3.4 Workforce Effects and Skills

Automation changes the mix of tasks within jobs more often than it eliminates whole occupations. Economics research shows that technology displaces some tasks while creating new ones, shifting labor toward activities that complement machines, such as exception handling, communication, and integrative problem-solving [19][21]. Forecasts differ on magnitude, but most agree on substantial reallocation of work across roles and industries [2][18]. In services, AI tools handle perception and prediction, while people bring empathy, negotiation, and cross-domain reasoning—so joint human-AI systems can outperform either alone when designed well [12]. The organizational risk is job redesign without reskilling. Programs that pair each automation with a clear "after" job design and short, targeted training—data literacy, prompt design, process improvement—report smoother adoption and better employee sentiment [3][17].

3.5 Governance, Risk, and Responsible Scale

As programs expand, especially with more citizen development, the need for lightweight but real governance increases. For most companies, the usage of AI still remains in initial phases [22]. Recommended controls include intake checklists, design reviews for critical automations, model/version management for AI components, human-in-the-loop checkpoints for high-impact decisions, and basic security and privacy safeguards [3][16]. Polner et al. (2022) notes momentum toward end-to-end automation with broader participation, which raises value and the risk of "automation sprawl" if intake, testing, and change control are weak. Well-run programs standardize criteria (benefits, risks, data lineage), define break/fix responsibility, retire low-value bots, and document AI steps (data sources, drift monitoring, edge-case reviews). The overall pattern across sources is that discipline, not tool choice alone, is the best predictor of durable results [4][17][20].

Taking together, the evidence points to a simple formula for lasting value: automation + process redesign + reskilling, supported by governance that is light enough to move fast and strong enough to manage risk. The conclusion summarizes these lessons and turns them into practical guidance for managers, students, and policymakers.

4. Conclusion

Automation technologies—RPA, IA, and hyperautomation—are now central to how organizations modernize operations. The reviewed evidence leads to three themes. First, value is real but conditional. Firms consistently report lower costs, fewer errors, and shorter cycle times when they redesign processes and digitize inputs before automating; they struggle when they automate variable, paper-bound, or poorly

ISSN 2616-5902 Vol. 8, Issue 1: 54-58, DOI: 10.25236/AJBM.2026.080108

defined work [4][17][20]. Second, the labor story is task reallocation, not simple replacement. Automation shifts people toward tasks that complement machines—exception handling, communication, and integrative problem-solving—making reskilling and role redesign essential for fair and successful adoption [12][19[21]. Third, scale requires governance. Clear ownership, model oversight, change control, and human-in-the-loop checks keep programs reliable and trustworthy as they expand [3][16].

For managers, a practical playbook is to select the right candidates (using the Four V's), simplify the process with mining-informed redesign, build with the least complex tool that fits, safeguard with lightweight controls, and scale what works while retiring low-value bots. Measure outcomes with a short, consistent set—cycle time, first-pass yield, error rate, exceptions, SLA adherence, and validated hours saved—rather than counting automations. For workers and students, the opportunity is to learn complementary skills: data literacy, prompt design, and collaborative problem-solving with AI tools. For policymakers and schools, the priority is to support transitions through targeted training, employer partnerships, and transparency standards that reduce bias and protect privacy.

Looking forward, the most durable advantages will come from combining automation with strong processes and capable people, not from tools alone. Organizations that remove low-value work while investing in design, data, and skills will capture durable gains and maintain trust. Those that skip redesign or neglect governance will face fragile systems, rising maintenance costs, and reputational risk. In brief, responsible automation is not a plug-and-play fix; it is a disciplined, teachable capability that blends technology with thoughtful management and continuous learning.

Acknowledgement

I deeply thank Professor Kenneth Bigel—Professor of Finance at Touro University and adjunct professor in the Social Impact programs at NYU Stern School of Business—for his helpful guidance on this paper. His advice strengthened the business case for automation (costs, benefits, and risks), highlighted the need for sound governance and human oversight, and encouraged attention to workforce reskilling. His comments also helped tighten the literature review and clarify the analysis.

References

[1] Laurent, P., Chollet, T., & Herzberg, E. (2015). Intelligent automation entering the business world. Deloitte. https://docslib.org/doc/2716011/intelligent-automation-entering-the-business-world
[2] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future. W. W. Norton & Company.
[3] Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), 108–116. https://hbr.org/2018/01/artificial-intelligence-for-the-real-world
[4] Lacity, M., & Willcocks, L. (2016). Service automation: Robots and the future of work. SB Publishing.
[5] Lacity, M., & Willcocks, L. (2018). Robotic process automation and risk mitigation: The definitive guide. SB Publishing.
[6] Rozario, A. M., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2018). Auditing with smart contracts. International Journal of Digital Accounting Research, 18, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.4192/1577-8517-v18_1
[7] Kokina, J., & Blanchette, S. (2019). Early evidence of digital labor in accounting: Innovation with robotic process automation. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 35, 100431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2019.100431
[8] Rawashdeh, A., Shehadeh, E., Rababah, A., & Al-Okdeh, S. K. (2022). Adoption of robotic process automation (RPA) and its effect on business value: An internal auditors perspective. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(6), 9832–9847. https://journalppw.com/index.php/jpsp/article/view/9497/6180
[9] Siderska, J. (2020). Robotic process automation—A driver of digital transformation? Engineering Management in Production and Services, 12(2), 21–31. https://doi.org/10.2478/emj-2020-0009
[10] Costa, D. A. S., Mamede, H. S., & Mira da Silva, M. (2022). Robotic process automation (RPA) adoption: A systematic literature review. Engineering Management in Production and Services, 14(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.2478/emj-2022-0012
[11] Yarlagadda, R. T. (2017). AI automation and it's future in the United States. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 5(1), 382–389. http://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT1133935.pdf
[12] Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. Journal of Service Research, 21(2), 155–172. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670517752459
[13] Monperrus, M. (2018). Automatic software repair: A bibliography. ACM Computing Surveys, 51(1), 17:1–17:24. https://doi.org/10.1145/3105906

ISSN 2616-5902 Vol. 8, Issue 1: 54-58, DOI: 10.25236/AJBM.2026.080108

[14] van der Aalst, W. (2016). Process mining: Data science in action (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49851-4
[15] Dumas, M., La Rosa, M., Mendling, J., & Reijers, H. A. (2018). Fundamentals of business process management (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-56509-4
[16] Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R. P., Rab, S., & Suman, R. (2021). Hyperautomation for the enhancement of automation in industries. Sensors International, 2, 100124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100124
[17] Polner, A., Schaefer, G., Wright, D., Thopalli, K., Telford, T., & Urbaniak, T. (2022, June 30). Automation with intelligence: 2022 global intelligent automation survey results. Deloitte Insights. https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/talent/intelligent-automation-2022-survey-results.html
[18] Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019
[19] Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2019). Automation and new tasks: How technology displaces and reinstates labor. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 33(2), 3–30. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.33.2.3
[20] Willcocks, L., Lacity, M., & Craig, A. (2015). Robotic process automation at Xchanging (Outsourcing Unit Working Paper 15/03). London School of Economics and Political Science. https://www.blueprism.com/uploads/resources/white-papers/LSE-Case-Study-XchangingOUWP032015.pdf
[21] Autor, D. H. (2015). Why are there still so many jobs? The history and future of workplace automation. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3–30. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.3
[22] McKinsey & Company (Singla, A., Sukharevsky, A., Yee, L., & Chui, M.). (2025, March 12). The state of AI: How organizations are rewiring to capture value. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai