ISSN 2618-1568 Vol. 8, Issue 2: 14-18, DOI: 10.25236/FAR.2026.080203

Aesthetic Attention in the First Movement of Schubert's Piano Sonata D. 958

Wei Song

Heilongjiang Provincial Academy of Arts, Harbin, 150001, Heilongjiang, China

Abstract: *Sustaining audience engagement throughout the first movement of Schubert's Piano Sonata in C Minor, D. 958, necessitates a performance that emphasizes its inherent dramatic contrasts. The performer must articulate stark dynamic shifts, clearly differentiate lyrical themes from explosive passages, and build structural tension across the movement's expansive form. A compelling narrative, guided by rhythmic integrity and deliberate pacing, is essential to unify the work's turbulent character and maintain listener attention from the introduction to the finale.*

Keywords: *Schubert, Piano Sonata, Audience Engagement, Dramatic Contrast, Musical Narrative*

1. Introduction

The first movement of Schubert's Piano Sonata in C Minor, D. 958, features extended passages of light texture and frequent repetition of melodic material, which can lead to auditory fatigue—understood here as a form of mental exhaustion resulting from repeated exposure to similar musical phrases—and a consequent decline in audience attention. Therefore, facilitating a coherent and engaging initial listening experience is a critical challenge for the performer.

2. Composer and Composition Background

1) Introduction of composer

Franz Peter Schubert (1797–1828), an Austrian composer of the early Romantic era, is widely regarded as one of the last great masters of the Classical tradition. He died in Vienna at the age of 31.

2) Composition background of Schubert's sonata in C Minor D.958

The Piano Sonata in C Minor, D. 958, is the largest and most representative of the three sonatas composed by Schubert in his final years. Although he had begun to achieve some recognition through the support of friends, Schubert experienced profound personal anxiety and isolation toward the end of his life. This was compounded by the departure of several close companions in 1828, which intensified his emotional distress and sense of solitude. Composed under these psychological and social pressures, the sonata reflects a grandeur and emotional depth characteristic of Schubert's late style. It conveys a complex affective world—marked by tension, anxiety, and melancholy—and demonstrates a mature command of both structure and expression, representing a complete and refined realization of his artistic vision. [1]

3. Analysis of Music Form

Exposition (1-98)

The Main Theme(mm. 1–20) comprises two phrases: the first spans mm. 1–14, and the second mm. 15–20. The Transition (mm. 21–38) consists of three phrases (6+4+8 bars), while the Secondary Theme (mm. 39–85) is also structured in three phrases (14+14+17 bars).

Development (99-159):

There are three parts in Development.

The Development section can be divided into three parts.The first part (mm. 99–118) develops the Main Theme, with mm. 99–105 establishing the material and mm. 106–118 modulating toward D

ISSN 2618-1568 Vol. 8, Issue 2: 14-18, DOI: 10.25236/FAR.2026.080203

Major, leading into the central segment.

In the central section, mm. 119–124 present two three-bar phrases. This is followed by a developmental treatment in mm. 125–132. The third segment (mm. 133–141) transposes the initial phrase of the center to A♭ Major, employing octave textures. The fourth segment (mm. 142–152) further expands and alters the initial idea.

Mm. 153–159 feature a left-hand chromatic scale ascending from G to B♮ , which serves as a retransition preparing the return to C Minor in the Recapitulation.

Recapitulation (160-248):

The Recapitulation closely mirrors the Exposition,though the Secondary Theme now appears in C Major, with the movement ultimately concluding in the tonic C Minor.

4. Performance Strategies for Sustaining Audience Attention in the First Movement of Schubert's Piano Sonata in C Minor, D. 958

Music-aesthetic fatigue refers to a state of mental and physical weariness experienced during musical engagement, primarily arising through auditory channels. Given the interconnected nature of human physiological systems, this fatigue invariably exerts a reciprocal influence between psychological and physiological states. The process typically begins with a non-aesthetic perception of the music, wherein initial auditory pleasure transforms into a psychological burden, and aesthetic anticipation gives way to emotional tedium, eventually culminating in psychosomatic fatigue. This phenomenon subverts the intended aesthetic experience, turning potential pleasure into discomfort and exacerbating fatigue, thereby counteracting the music's aesthetic purpose.

Although the causes of music-aesthetic fatigue are often rooted in the music itself, the specific conditions and processes leading to fatigue vary. Contributing factors include:

1) Excessively loud volume

2) Listeners' lack of musical comprehension:

An illustrative example is found in Haydn's Symphony No. 94 in G Major, which he composed in response to inattentive audiences. The work's tranquil opening lulled aristocratic listeners to sleep, only to be startled awake by a sudden fortissimo chord—a deliberate dramatic device.

3) Prolonged listening or performance

4) Extended exposure to monotonous music:

The human spirit thrives on diversity, and musical life should reflect this richness. Confinement to a single style or limited repertoire, without the impetus of personal aesthetic preference, inevitably leads to auditory fatigue.

The following performance examples primarily address strategies to mitigate the fourth issue.

Examples:

Example 1:Mm. 39–75

This passage presents two principal challenges:

Issue 1:

The same melodic phrase appears twice (mm. 39–53 and mm. 53–67), with identical dynamic markings and articulation. Within these, the core thematic idea recurs four times (mm. 40–41, 44–45, 54–55, 58–59). Repeated presentation of an expressive melody without variation risks listener fatigue.

Issue 2:

The abrupt rhythmic shift at m. 67 poses a challenge for seamless execution, potentially causing the audience to perceive a disjointed transition and obscuring the musical logic.

In variation forms, despite thematic repetition, audiences typically remain engaged in anticipation of each new variation. In works by composers such as Beethoven, Mozart, or Schubert, each variation introduces stylistic transformation—for instance, from melancholy to cheerfulness, or from grace to intensity.

ISSN 2618-1568 Vol. 8, Issue 2: 14-18, DOI: 10.25236/FAR.2026.080203

Mm. 39–75 effectively comprise three variations: Variation 1 (m. 39), Variation 2 (m. 53), and Variation 3 (m. 67). The performer should therefore highlight the distinctive features of each variation to convey their unique characters. For instance, in mm. 44–45, the B♭ on the second beat can be imagined as a new orchestral entry—its tone should be bright and perceptible, while the overall melodic line remains fluid and naturally expressive, avoiding excessive dynamism or affectation.

In mm. 53–67, a key differentiation lies in the left-hand triplets, which appear both slurred and unslurred. To avoid monotony, careful attention should be paid to articulating this contrast. By clearly projecting new material while maintaining melodic fluidity, the performer can effectively counteract auditory fatigue.In the third variation, the thematic melody requires particular emphasis through clear articulation, ensuring the audience recognizes its continuity with earlier material.

Variation 1:

In m. 44 (around 0:09), I emphasize the B♭ on the second beat, applying a similar approach in m. 46 (0:12).

Variation 2:

To contrast mm. 54 and 58, I use a slurred articulation for the left-hand triplets in m. 54, and a non legato touch in m. 58 (approx. 0:32).

Variation 3:

Beginning around 0:49, I focus on shaping the melodic line through dynamic inflection.

Example 2:Mm. 117–121

When the hands play in widely spaced registers, textural clarity is often naturally preserved. However, when both hands play in close proximity—especially in lower registers, as here, marked piano and pianissimo—careful voice balance is essential to avoid muddiness. In mm. 119–121, in particular, unclear execution can obscure the left-hand melody and undermine the phrase's echo-like dialogue with mm. 122–124, compromising the passage's structural logic—much like a speech lacking coherent flow.

A differentiated touch is effective in such contexts: the left hand carries the primary melody, and despite the pianissimo marking, the first note of each phrase should be weighted sufficiently to anchor the line, with subsequent notes clearly articulated. The right hand provides accompaniment, best executed with a fingertip-oriented touch, mobilizing the second phalangeal joints rather than full arm movement, to produce a clear, flexible sound with minimal effort.

Pedaling should employ partial (half-) pedaling and frequent changes,[2] tailored to the harmonic and textural context.Only when listeners perceive a clear, purposefully shaped melodic line—and recognize the uniqueness of each phrase—will their engagement be sustained.

In my performance of m. 119, I apply additional arm weight to the left hand's first note, sustaining the melodic voice thereafter. The right hand uses a touch between non legato and legato, and I employ half-pedaling, changing with each beat without fully releasing the damper.

Example 3: Mm. 129–155

This extended passage features frequent dynamic markings of piano, pianissimo, and pianississimo, posing a significant challenge for the performer. Maintaining musical tension over a long, quiet section is difficult, and unclear execution can obscure the music's meaning for the audience.

Rather than reproducing the score here, it is more pertinent to address the overarching interpretive challenge: change is more critical than literal adherence to markings. In this passage, change manifests harmonically and dynamically. Since shifts occur every two to three bars, the performer must convey a renewed mood with each gesture, achieved through varied touch while preserving melodic continuity in the left hand and textural clarity in the right.

For dynamic differentiation, I establish the softest attainable level as my pianississimo, then crescendo gradually to define pianissimo and piano relative to that baseline. In practice, to make these three dynamic levels distinctly audible, I sometimes adjust the marked dynamics—for instance, interpreting piano as mezzo-forte and pianissimo as mezzo-piano—thereby clarifying the inherent contrasts. A pianist must not only understand the musical narrative but also communicate it unequivocally; only then will the audience grasp its meaning.

ISSN 2618-1568 Vol. 8, Issue 2: 14-18, DOI: 10.25236/FAR.2026.080203

5. The Use of Body Language in Performance

The appropriate use of body language is essential for pianists seeking to enhance the audience's sensory experience. Beyond technical proficiency in accurately interpreting musical works, performers must employ purposeful physical expression to convey their understanding of the musical content. In piano performance, the artist breathes new vitality into the composition. Through the thoughtful application of body language during execution, performers can deepen their emotional engagement with the music, thereby strengthening both technical execution and emotional communication.

To effectively utilize body language in this movement, performers should first develop a clear conceptualization of the musical content and emotions to be expressed. Subsequently, they should select physical gestures that align with the musical character. Building upon these foundations, performers may then expand their physical vocabulary in a musically appropriate manner.

Example 1:

At the movement's opening, the music possesses a powerful and solemn character. The performer should maintain an upright posture without slouching. This posture not only facilitates the technical execution of robust chords but also immediately communicates the music's gravitas to the audience through the performer's physical presence—first impressions being particularly crucial in establishing musical character.

Example 2:

When reaching measure 21, the body may relax somewhat from the initial rigid posture. Simultaneously, the performer should avoid tension in the arms while playing sixteenth-note passages. Consciously releasing upper arm tension enables the audience to perceive the performer's enjoyment of the melodic line rather than any sense of strain.

Example 3:

Between measures 38 and 39, a significant stylistic shift occurs. While playing the forte in measure 38, the gaze may appropriately fall upon the hands. Upon arriving at measure 39, however, the eyes should lift to look forward or upward. This deliberate visual focus creates expressive and logical physical phrasing that enhances communication for both performer and listener.

Regarding Facial Expression:

Facial expression serves as the most immediate reflection of the performer's inner world during execution. Therefore, pianists must maintain awareness of their facial expressions throughout performance, particularly in emotionally intense passages or larger-scale works where expression requires careful modulation rather than exaggeration.

Ultimately, all physical expression should emerge naturally from genuine musical understanding. Exaggerated or musically unjustified gestures should be avoided. When audiences perceive the performer's sincere devotion to the work, they respond with deeper engagement.

6. Conclusion

In performing the first movement of Schubert's Piano Sonata in C Minor, D. 958, maintaining audience attention requires attention to several crucial elements.

First, the pianist must comprehend the composer's biographical context and the work's historical positioning to accurately apprehend its overall mood and style. Understanding Schubert's difficult final years reveals this sonata as fundamentally powerful, yet interwoven with anxiety and struggle—its beautiful melodies tinged with melancholy or nostalgic remembrance.

Secondly, analytical knowledge of musical form and harmonic progression enables more compelling dynamic expression.[3] For instance, the complex harmonic shifts in the development section demand clear projection of each harmony's distinctive color. Similarly, when musical materials repeat, the performer must highlight harmonic differentiations to sustain listener engagement.

Most crucially, the performer must maintain musical coherence—the logical interconnection between sections. In the third variation, for example, the melodic dynamic should evolve organically rather than change abruptly. This principle of connectivity applies throughout the movement.

ISSN 2618-1568 Vol. 8, Issue 2: 14-18, DOI: 10.25236/FAR.2026.080203

Clear tonal production remains essential, achieved through precise finger articulation. The contrasts between legato and staccato touches, or between slurred and non-slurred triplets, must be rendered with unmistakable clarity.

While this discussion has focused specifically on maintaining audience attention, numerous additional subtleties contribute to compelling performance. Through analyzing this C minor sonata's first movement, we observe how dramatic and lyrical elements interweave, how traditional structures absorb innovative approaches, and how Schubert infused instrumental writing with vocal sensibility. By transferring art song accompaniment techniques to sonata form and applying lyrical melodic writing to instrumental themes, Schubert enabled instrumental works to achieve unprecedented expressivity. His pivotal role in transitioning from Classical tradition to Romantic innovation, particularly through adapting vocal techniques to piano composition, established crucial foundations for the Romantic piano sonata and represents a significant contribution to the repertoire.

References

[1] Wang, Xiao. "An Analysis of the Composing Elements of the First Movement of Shubert's "Piano Sonata in C Minor" D958." The 6th International Conference on Arts, Design and Contemporary Education (ICADCE 2020). Atlantis Press, 2021.

[2] Xueying Tang. Taking Schubert 's Sonata in C Minor (D958) as an example, this paper discusses Schubert 's musical style and playing skills[D]. Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, China; 2020.

[3] Ning Zhang. Study on the performance of body language in playing piano[J]. Appreciation; 2022(26):166-169.